

|   |                                      |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/091,270 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>RICHARDSON, WAINY |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Joseph C. Merek   | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3727                  |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Joseph C. Merek.

(2) Luis M. Ortiz.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 10 December 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*n/a*

**Claims discussed:**

*n/a*

**Prior art documents discussed:**


*n/a*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr Ortiz informed the examiner that they did not respond to the advisory action mailed 9/27/04.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)